

REMARKS

THE ADVISORY ACTION

The Examiner states that applicants did not specify where in the specification support for the amendments to the claims is provided.

Applicants respectfully submit that support for amended claim 1 is provided throughout the specification including page 7, lines 9-10 and figure 4A (compare refolding results for H2389, H2471, H2410 and H2429 with those for OP-1, BMP-2, CDMP-2).

The amendments to claims 5 and 6 were to improve their form. The term "domain" in claim 5 was amended to recite proper dependence on its antecedent basis. Support for the amendment to claim 5 is provided throughout the specification and in the original claims as filed. Claim 6 was amended to recite a dimer having "two" identical monomers. This amendment is a clarification of the term "dimer."

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, applicants request that the Examiner favorably reconsider this application and allow the claims pending herein. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite allowance of this application, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at any time.

Respectfully submitted,



---

James F. Haley, Jr. (Reg. No., 27,794)  
Karen Mangasarian (Reg. No. 43,772)  
Attorneys for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE  
Customer No. 1473  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020-1105  
Tel.: (212) 596-9000  
Fax: (212) 596-9090